REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are pending, Claims 1-3, and 5-7 having been amended by way of the present amendment. No new matter is added.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1-3 and 5-7 were rejected as being anticipated by Yasuda (U.S. Patent No. 5,999,694). Furthermore, the present amendment is made in light of the remarks made in the Advisory Action of December 23, 2008 in which the Office maintains its assertion that Yasuda teaches that the recorded management information is recorded on the medium (CD).

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and the assertion.

The remarks filed in the Request for Reconsideration of April 16, 2008 are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety, as these comments are equally applicable in this response.

In paragraph 3 of the outstanding Office Action, the Office Action asserts that (I)the claims do not specify that the temporal information is generated and recorded on the medium when a playback of the recorded information is terminated; (II) the claims do not recite a playback or reproducing the recorded information prior to recording the PlayList file and the temporal information on the medium in the claims; and (III) <u>Yasuda</u> teaches a temporal reference (position information) being recorded on the medium when a playback is terminated (citing column 5, lines 40-50).

These three issues appear to be the main issues on which Applicants and the Office presently differ, and so in an attempt to focus the prosecution, each of these three issues will now be addressed in turn.

I. <u>Claim 1 requires the temporal information be recorded on the recording medium after the time point of the termination.</u>

Amended Claim 1 requires a recording means for recording one or more PlayList files and a management information file. The management information file contains a resume PlayList file name that indicates a name of the particular PlayList file whose playback has been terminated. The particular PlayList file contains temporal information on a time point of termination of the playback of the particular PlayList file. To remove any doubt, Claim 1 had been amended to expressly state that the temporal information is recorded on the recording medium after the time point of the termination.

As shown in the cover figure of <u>Yasuda</u>, a recording medium 1 provides data to a reproducing apparatus S. The reproducing apparatus S includes a controller 8 that has a backup memory 13 and a buffer RAM 10. The backup memory 13 serves as a "group index memory, record position information memory, picture index memory, ...," as discussed at column 12, lines 48-51. The Office Action asserts that <u>Yasuda</u> discloses "a temporal reference (position information) on the medium when a playback is terminated," citing column 5, lines 40-50. However, in column 5, lines 40-50, a time code (TC) is recorded into a group index memory, which is part of the backup memory 13, <u>not</u> part of the recording medium. Therefore, Applicants traverse the assertion that Claim 1 fails to require the recording of the temporal information to the recording medium.

Applicants also traverse the assertion that <u>Yasuda</u> discloses the teaching of the temporal reference <u>on the medium</u> when a playback is terminated. More specifically, even assuming the assertion in the Advisory Action of December 23, 2008 is correct (that the sector address can reasonable be considered as a temporal information), <u>Yasuda</u> does not disclose recording the temporal information on the recording medium <u>after</u> the time point of the termination.

II. Claims Do Not Preclude Recording

The Temporal Information On The Medium After a Playback Operation.

In the case of Claim 1, the Office Action apparently is placing a sequence restriction regarding recording the management information file on the recording medium. Because the claim requires the management information file to be recorded on the recording medium and the management information file includes a name of a particular PlayList file and the particular PlayList file contains temporal information, it is respectfully submitted that at least Claim 1 requires the recording of the temporal information, which is contained in the particular PlayList file, on the recording medium. This claim simply does not include a sequence requirement as apparently the Office is reading into Claim 1.

The same discussion applies generally with regard to Claims 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7. As such, Applicants traverse the assertion that the sequence requirement, as alleged in the Office Action, is required by the claims.

III. Yasuda teaches a temporal reference (position information) on the medium.

The Office cites column 5, lines 40-50 for support that <u>Yasuda</u> teaches a temporal reference being recorded on the medium when a playback is terminated. However, the language that addresses this recording medium is at line 48 which refers to a "picture on the record medium". This is different than asserting that the position information is recorded on the record medium. Rather, line 51 makes clear that the position information is "stored into a record position memory". Subsequently at column 12, lines 48-51, the specification describes this as a memory <u>in a controller of a playback device</u> (see e.g., element 13 of controller 8 of the cover figure). As such, Applicants traverse the assertion that <u>Yasuda</u> teaches a temporal reference being recorded <u>on the medium</u> after a playback is terminated.

Instead, <u>Yasuda</u> merely discloses saving in a playback mechanism's memory the temporal reference, and not on the medium itself. Furthermore, <u>Yasuda</u> does not disclose recording the temporal information on the recording medium <u>after</u> the time point of the termination as claimed. Therefore, Applicants respectfully traverse the Office's assertion.

Consequently, in light of the foregoing comments, it is respectfully submitted that the invention defined by amended Claims 1-3 and 5-7 patentably define over the asserted prior art. The present application is therefore believed to be in condition for formal allowance and an early and favorable reconsideration of this application is therefore requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

less

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/07) Bradley D. Lytle Attorney of Record Registration No. 40,073